

MONOPOLES AND CONFINEMENT: NEW RESULTS AND STATE OF THE ART.

Adriano Di Giacomo

Pisa University and INFN, Italy.

Tashkent, Nov 2018

PLAN OF THE TALK

- ▶ CONFINEMENT OF COLOR AND MONOPOLES
- ▶ MONOPOLES ON LATTICE
- ▶ GAUGE INVARIANCE AND MONOPOLES .
- ▶ ORDER PARAMETER FOR CONFINEMENT
- ▶ DISCUSSION

CONFINEMENT OF COLOUR-1

QUARKS STRICTLY CONFINED IN NATURE

▶ $\frac{n_q}{n_p} \leq 10^{-27}$ EXPECT $\approx 10^{-12}$

▶ $\frac{\sigma_q}{\sigma_{TOT}} \leq 10^{-15}$ EXPECT $O(1)$

NATURAL EXPLANATION :

$n_q = 0$, $\sigma_q = 0$ PROTECTED BY SOME SYMMETRY.

DECONFINING TRANSITION A CHANGE OF SYMMETRY.

ALL THIS SHOULD BE BUILT-IN IN QCD,

CONFINEMENT OF COLOUR -2

- ▶ GAUGE INVARIANCE CAN NOT BE BROKEN

$$U_G(\vec{x}) = U(\vec{x})U_B(\vec{x})$$

$$U(\vec{x}) = 1 \text{ on } S_2 \quad U_B(\vec{x}) = 1 \text{ except on } S_2.$$

S_2 THE 2-DIMENSIONAL SURFACE AT SPATIAL INFINITY.

- ▶ D.O.F. INVOLVED LIVE ON THE SURFACE AT SPATIAL INFINITY (TOPOLOGY).
- ▶ TOPOLOGICAL EXCITATIONS IN (3+1)d MONOPOLES [$S_2 \rightarrow SU(2)$], IN (2+1)d VORTICES [$S_1 \rightarrow U(1)$]
- ▶ CONFINEMENT BY DUAL SUPERCONDUCTIVITY OF THE VACUUM ['tHooft , Mandelstam 1975].
- ▶ MONOPOLES DO EXIST IN HIGGS GAUGE SYSTEMS ['tHooft , Polyakov ' 74].

MONOPOLES ON LATTICE-1

LATTICE A TOOL TO EXPLORE LARGE DISTANCES IN QCD.
THREE MAIN APPROACHES TO MONOPOLES

1) DETECT MONOPOLES IN LATTICE CONFIGURATIONS
AND LOOK FOR MONOPOLE DOMINANCE [KANAZAWA '90,
BERLIN, ITEP]

2) DEFINE A MONOPOLE CREATION OPERATOR, μ .

$\langle \mu \rangle \equiv \langle 0 | \mu | 0 \rangle$ ORDER PARAMETER FOR DUAL
SUPERCONDUCTIVITY, TO BE MEASURED ON LATTICE.
 $\langle \mu \rangle \neq 0 \quad T \leq T_c, \quad \langle \mu \rangle = 0 \quad T > T_c$ [PISA, '94]

3) DETERMINE THE CONSTRAINT POTENTIAL OF THE
MONOPOLE FIELD FROM THE MONOPOLES DETECTED IN
LATTICE CONFIGURATIONS, AND LOOK FOR A MINIMUM
AT NON-ZERO FIELD [ITEP].

MONOPOLES ON LATTICE-2

- ▶ 2) AND 3) EQUIVALENT IN PRINCIPLE, BASED ON SYMMETRY. DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES.
- ▶ 1) INCONCLUSIVE FOR CONFINEMENT.
- ▶ 1), 2), 3) NEED TO IDENTIFY THE $U(1)$ SUBGROUP IN WHICH MONOPOLES LIVE (ABELIAN PROJECTION). THE ORIGINAL SYSTEM IN WHICH MONOPOLES WERE FOUND IS A HIGGS MODEL ['tHOOFT, POLYAKOV '74] : MAGNETIC CHARGE = WINDING NUMBER OF THE MAPPING $S_2 \rightarrow \vec{\Phi}$. ABELIAN PROJECTION $\equiv U(1)$ SUBGROUP WHICH LEAVES $\vec{\Phi}$ INVARIANT. IN QCD NO HIGGS FIELD. LOOK FOR EFFECTIVE HIGGS. ANY FIELD IN THE ADJOINT REPRESENTATION CAN ACT AS EFFECTIVE HIGGS $\vec{\Phi}_{eff}$ ['tHOOFT '81] DIAGONALIZE DIFFERENT EFFECTIVE HIGGSSES AND CREATE OR DETECT A $U(1)$ MONOPOLE.

- ▶ DIFFICULT TO DETECT A CHARGE IN A SUPERCONDUCTOR BECAUSE OF SHIELDING.
- ▶ MONOPOLES DETECTED ON LATTICE BY EXCESS OF FLUX (DIRAC STRING)[**DEGRAND, TOUSSAINT '81**]. NUMBER AND LOCATION STRONGLY DEPEND ON THE CHOICE OF THE GAUGE (**ABELIAN PROJECTION**). MAGNETIC CHARGE A TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTY : **SHOULD BE GAUGE INVARIANT**.

- ▶ MONOPOLE IS A CLASSICAL TOPOLOGICAL CONFIGURATION : WE SHALL PROVE THAT ITS EXISTENCE AND CREATION ARE ABELIAN PROJECTION INVARIANT CONCEPTS.

WHATEVER PROJECTION WE USE TO DEFINE μ , IT CREATES A MONOPOLE IN ALL PROJECTIONS: $\langle \mu \rangle \neq 0$ MEANS DUAL SUPERCONDUCTIVITY IN ALL OF THEM.

- ▶ LATTICE DETECTION HAS PROBLEMS, . COMPUTATION OF THE EFFECTIVE POTENTIAL PLAGUED BY LATTICE ARTEFACTS.

MONOPOLES AND GAUGE INVARIANCE-1

- ▶ MONOPOLES: SOLITONS OF THE $SU(2)$ HIGGS MODEL WITH Φ IN THE ADJOINT REPRESENTATION.
[t HOOFT 74, POLYAKOV 74]

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} \vec{G}_{\mu\nu} \vec{G}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \vec{\Phi} D_\mu \vec{\Phi} - \frac{m^2}{2} \vec{\Phi} \vec{\Phi} - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\vec{\Phi} \vec{\Phi})^2$$

$$\Phi^a = \rho \frac{x^a}{|\vec{x}|} H(\xi), \quad H(\xi)_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow 1, \quad H(0) = 0$$

$$A_0^a = 0, \quad A_i^a = -\frac{1}{g x^2} \epsilon_{iab} x_b [1 - K(\xi)], \quad K(\infty) = 0, \quad K(0) = 1$$

$$\xi = g \rho x, \quad \rho \equiv \sqrt{-\frac{m^2}{\lambda}}.$$

- ▶ $\Phi^a_{\vec{x} \rightarrow 0} \approx \text{constant} \cdot x^a$ $\Phi^a_{x \rightarrow \infty} = \rho \frac{x^a}{x}$ $Q^{\text{mag}} = \frac{1}{g} H(\infty)$

A MAPPING $S_2 \rightarrow O(3)/U(1)$.

MAGNETIC CHARGE \equiv WINDING NUMBER.

MONOPOLES AND GAUGE INVARIANCE-2

- ▶ UNITARY GAUGE: $\Phi \equiv \Phi^a \sigma_a$ DIAGONAL $\rightarrow \Phi = |\vec{\Phi}| \sigma_3$.
ON S_2 $\Phi = \rho \sigma_3$. (ABELIAN PROJECTION)

- ▶ ABELIAN MAGNETIC FIELD IN UNITARY GAUGE

$$B_k^3 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{kij} F_{ij}^3 \quad F_{ij}^3 = \partial_i A_j^3 - \partial_j A_i^3 = F_{ij}$$

$$F_{ij} \equiv \hat{\Phi} \left[\vec{G}_{ij} - \frac{1}{g} D_i \hat{\Phi} \wedge D_j \hat{\Phi} \right] \quad [\hat{\Phi} \equiv \frac{\vec{\Phi}}{|\vec{\Phi}|}] \quad (\text{t'HOOFT TENSOR})$$

$$\vec{B}^3 \approx_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{g} \left[\frac{\vec{r}}{r^3} + \text{Dirac - string} \right] \quad (\text{MONOPOLE})$$

- ▶ DIRAC STRING CAN BE PUT ALONG +z-AXIS.

MONOPOLES AND GAUGE INVARIANCE-3

- ▶ CONSIDER THE QUANTITY

$$M = \oint_c \hat{\Phi} \vec{A}_i dx_i.$$

c A CLOSED PATH ON S_2 ENCIRCLING $+z$ axis.

- ▶ 1) $M =$ TOTAL MAGNETIC CHARGE
- ▶ 2) M IS GAUGE INVARIANT.
- ▶ 3) M IS ABELIAN PROJECTION INDEPENDENT.
- ▶ PROOF of 1) BY STOKES THEOREM

$$M = \int_S d\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \wedge (\hat{\Phi}^a \vec{A}^a) = \int_S d\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}$$

SINCE $\vec{\nabla} \hat{\Phi}^a = 0$ IN THE UNITARY GAUGE.

MONOPOLES AND GAUGE INVARIANCE-4

PROOF OF 2)

$$M' \equiv \oint_c \hat{\Phi}' \vec{A}'_i dx_i = \oint_c (\hat{\Phi} \vec{A}_i + \frac{i}{g} \hat{\Phi} \partial_i R^\dagger) dx_i = M \quad [\vec{\Phi}' = R \vec{\Phi}]$$

$\oint_c \hat{\Phi} \partial_i R^\dagger = 0$ SINCE $\vec{\nabla} \hat{\Phi} = 0$ AND R SINGLE VALUED, AND IN ANY CASE IF THE TRANSFORMATION IS GLOBAL.

PROOF OF 3)

SUPPOSE THERE ARE TWO (OR MORE) HIGGS FIELDS $\vec{\Phi}$ AND $\vec{\Phi}'$ OF COLOR ORIENTATIONS $\hat{\Phi}$ AND $\hat{\Phi}'$ EACH WITH ITS MONOPOLE SOLUTIONS.

ON THE SURFACE S_2 AT SPACIAL INFINITY AN $\vec{R}(\vec{n})$ EXISTS SUCH THAT $\hat{\Phi}'(\vec{n}) = \vec{R}(\vec{n}) \hat{\Phi}(\vec{n})$, AND WITH IT A GLOBAL TRANSFORMATION FROM THE UNITARY GAUGE OF $\vec{\Phi}$ TO THAT OF $\vec{\Phi}'$. FROM 2) $M = M'$ THE MAGNETIC CHARGE IS ABELIAN PROJECTION INDEPENDENT.

CREATING A MONOPOLE IS AN ABELIAN PROJECTION INDEPENDENT OPERATION.

MONOPOLES AND GAUGE INVARIANCE-5

- ▶ IN QCD NO FUNDAMENTAL HIGGS FIELD. EFFECTIVE HIGGS FIELD NEEDED TO DEFINE MONOPOLES [E.G. $A_4 = iA_0$ IN THE GAUGE $\partial_0 A_0 = 0$].
PROOF OF PROJECTION INDEPENDENCE STAYS UNCHANGED BEING BASED ON SYMMETRY.
- ▶ MAGNETIC CHARGE PROJECTION INVARIANT
→ μ CREATES A MONOPOLE IN ALL ABELIAN PROJECTIONS. IF $\langle \mu \rangle \neq 0$ DUAL SUPERCONDUCTIVITY IN ALL OF THEM.
- ▶ NUMBER OF MONOPOLES PROJECTION INVARIANT (PROBLEM WITH LATTICE DETECTION)
- ▶ GENERALIZED TO HIGHER GAUGE GROUPS LIKE $SU(N)$ [A.D.G. '16].

ABOUT THE EFFECTIVE HIGGS FIELD IN QCD -1

- LOOK FOR AN EFFECTIVE HIGGS FIELD IN QCD , $\vec{\Phi}_{eff}(\vec{A}_\mu)$, IN THE PHASE IN WHICH MONOPOLES CAN EXIST AS SOLITONS (DECONFINED). RECALL

$$\Phi_{eff}^a(\vec{A}_\mu(\vec{x}))_{\vec{x} \rightarrow 0} \approx constant \cdot x^a \quad \Phi_{eff}^a(\vec{A}_\mu(\vec{x}))_{\vec{x} \rightarrow \infty} = \rho \hat{x}^a$$

$$A_0^a = 0, \quad A_i^a = -\frac{1}{g x^2} \epsilon_{iab} x_b [1 - K(\xi)], \quad K(\infty) = 0, \quad K(0) = 1$$

NO WAY TO HAVE CONSISTENCY AT $x \rightarrow \infty$ IF $\vec{A}_0 = 0$.

- IF $\vec{A}_0 \neq 0$ $\partial_0 \vec{A}_0 = 0$ AT LEAST ONE SOLUTION EXISTS , NAMELY $\vec{\Phi}_{eff} = \vec{A}_0$ [JULIA ZEE '75] , "BPS" SOLUTION. ABEL. PROJ. : POLYAKOV LINE $P(\vec{x}) = \exp\left(\frac{ig}{T} \vec{A}_0(\vec{x})\right)$

- ANY OPERATOR IN THE ADJOINT REPRESENTATION BEHAVES AS A $\vec{\Phi}_{eff}$ NEAR A ZERO ['tHOOFT '81] AND CAN ACT AS EFFECTIVE HIGGS OF A MONOPOLE. NOT CLEAR IN THE CONFINED PHASE.

THE ORDER PARAMETER -1

- ▶ DEFINITION OF μ [A.D.G. et al '95 \rightarrow]

$$\mu(\vec{x}, t) = \exp \left(i \int d^3y \vec{E}_\perp^3(\vec{y}, t) \cdot \vec{A}_\perp^0(\vec{y} - \vec{x}) \right)$$

$\vec{A}_\perp^0(\vec{y} - \vec{x})$: THE FIELD IN \vec{y} PRODUCED BY A MONOPOLE SITTING IN \vec{x} (IN TRANSVERSE GAUGE).

$$\exp(ipa)|x\rangle = |x+a\rangle \rightarrow \mu(\vec{x}, t) |\vec{A}_\perp^3(\vec{z}, t)\rangle = |\vec{A}_\perp^3(\vec{z}, t) + \vec{A}_\perp^0(\vec{z} - \vec{x})\rangle$$

- ▶ $\langle \mu(\beta) \rangle = \langle 0 | \mu 0 \rangle = \frac{Z(\beta(S + \Delta S))}{Z(\beta S)} \quad \beta \equiv \frac{2N}{g^2}$

$$\rho(\beta) \equiv \frac{\partial \ln \langle \mu(\beta) \rangle}{\partial \beta} = \langle S \rangle_S - \langle (S + \Delta S) \rangle_{S + \Delta S}$$

$$\langle \mu(\beta) \rangle = \exp \left(\int_0^\beta \rho(\beta') d\beta' \right) \quad \mu(0) = 1$$

ORDER PARAMETER -2

- ▶ CREATING A MONOPOLE IS ABELIAN PROJECTION INDEPENDENT OPERATION → CREATE IT ALONG FORMAL 3-AXIS IN COLOUR SPACE USED IN THE UPDATING PROCEDURE, AS DONE IN [PISA , BARI].
- ▶ 2) AN INFRARED PROBLEM FOUND IN THE ORIGINAL DEFINITION OF ORDER PARAMETER [COSSU et al '07]. SOLVED IN [BONATI et al '12] :

$$\langle 0|\mu 0\rangle \rightarrow \mu' = \frac{\langle 0|\mu 0\rangle}{\sqrt{(\langle 0|0\rangle\langle \mu 0|\mu 0\rangle)}}$$

A RIGOROUS TEST OF DUAL SUPERCONDUCTIVITY.

- ▶ $SU(2)$ PURE GAUGE $\mu' \neq 0$ IN CONFINED $\mu' = 0$ IN DECONFINED. CORRECT SCALING AT THE TRANSITION AND CLUSTER PROPERTY. [BONATI et al '12]
HIGHER GROUPS AND QUARKS IN PROGRESS.

DISCUSSION

- ▶ ORDER PARAMETER FOR CONFINEMENT IN QCD, $\text{vev } \langle \mu \rangle$ OF AN OPERATOR μ CREATING A MONOPOLE. $\langle \mu \rangle \neq 0$ IN THE CONFINED PHASE, $\langle \mu \rangle = 0$ IN THE DECONFINED PHASE, **IN ALL ABELIAN PROJECTIONS**. CAN USE THE SIMPLEST CHOICE TO DEFINE μ .
- ▶ NUMERICALLY TESTED FOR $SU(2)$ PURE GAUGE. CORRECT CRITICAL INDEXES AT THE TRANSITION [**BONATI et al '12**]. CHECK POSSIBLE BY USE OF AN INDEPENDENT ORDER PARAMETER, THE STRING TENSION IN THE HEAVY $\bar{q} - q$ SYSTEM.
- ▶ DETECTION OF MONOPOLES ON LATTICE APPARENTLY INCONSISTENT WITH PROJECTION INDEPENDENCE OF THE EXISTENCE OF MONOPOLES: POSSIBLY PLAGUED BY LATTICE ARTEFACTS POLLUTING THE DETERMINATION OF THE CONSTRAINT POTENTIAL [**POLIKARPOV '97**].

THANK YOU