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## Introduction 1/2-Relativistic Heavy Ion Collision and Magnetic Field -



## 1,000,000 times stronger

 than MF on surface of neutron $\operatorname{star}{ }^{[1,2]}$$\uparrow$ Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and
Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC)
[1] M Oharaحulinaor M M Nav arXiv•1711.09975
Next page :Effect about MF
oneev,
925 (2009)

## Introduction 2/2 - Paschen-Back effect (PBE)-

## Zeeman effect $\left\{\right.$ anomalous Zeeman eff. : split by $S_{Z}$ normal Zeeman eff. : split by $L_{z}$

Paschen-Back effect : these in strong MF region $\uparrow$
Eigenstates are separated by $L_{z}$ and $S_{z}$ in PB effect as MF gets stronger than the scale of $L S$ coupling.

```
\downarrowWF's of an electron In a charmonium with }\mp@subsup{J}{z}{}=\mp@subsup{L}{z}{}+\mp@subsup{S}{z}{}=+1\mathrm{ ,
```

in hydrogen in vacuum

(1) they are separated
(2) eigenfunctions drastically deform
(3) Observables should change, too

## Motivation

Extremely strong magnetic field is predicted in heavy ion collision (HIC) but its strength has not been measured

Charmonium ( $\bar{c} c$ ) would be quickly produced in HIC $\longrightarrow$ appropriate to measure quickly disappearing MF P-wave system is expected to show PBE

## Calculation

## We calculate spectra, deformed wave functions (WF), and mixing ratios of P -wave charmonia in strong MF, neglecting thermal effects etc in HIC as first step for realistic calculation

Steps:

Constant magnetic field

+ time dependence
+ thermal effects
+ electrical field

Realistic calculation

## Hamiltonian $1 / 3$

Started from the form:

$$
H=\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2 m_{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i}-q_{i} \boldsymbol{A}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{i}\right)\right)^{2}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}+m_{i}\right]+V(r)
$$

Chose symmetric gauge:

$$
A(r)=\frac{1}{2} B \times r
$$

Used Cornell potential with SS, LS, and tensor coupling:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(r) & =\sigma r-\frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{r}+V_{\mathrm{SS}}(r)+V_{\mathrm{LS}}+V_{\mathrm{T}}, \\
V_{\mathrm{SS}}(r) & =\frac{32 \pi \alpha_{s}}{9 m_{e}^{2} \delta(r) \boldsymbol{S}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{2},} \\
V_{\mathrm{LS}} & =\frac{1}{m_{c}^{2}}\left(\frac{2 \alpha_{s}}{r^{3}}-\frac{\sigma}{2 r}\right) \boldsymbol{L} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}, \\
V_{\mathrm{T}} & =\frac{1}{m_{2}^{2}} \frac{4 \alpha_{s}}{3 r^{3}} 3\left(3\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{1} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{2} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}\right)-\boldsymbol{S}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{2}\right],
\end{aligned} \quad \text { from relativistic correction }
$$

Reducing total Hamiltonian into relative one ... (next page)

## Hamiltonian 2/3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{\mathrm{rel}}=H_{\mathrm{diag}}+H_{\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{m} .}+V_{\mathrm{LS}}+V_{\mathrm{T}}+2 m_{c}, \\
& H_{\mathrm{diag}}=\left[-\frac{1}{2 \mu} \nabla^{2}+\frac{q^{2} B^{2}}{8 \mu} \rho^{2}\right]+\sigma r-\frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{r}+\frac{32 \pi \alpha_{s}}{9 m_{c}^{2}} \delta(r)\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{2}\right), \\
& H_{\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{m} .}=-\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}\right), \\
& V_{\mathrm{LS}}=\frac{1}{m_{c}^{2}}\left(\frac{2 \alpha_{s}}{r^{3}}-\frac{\sigma}{2 r}\right) \boldsymbol{L} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}, \\
& V_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{1}{m_{c}^{2}} \frac{4 \alpha_{s}}{3 r^{3}}\left[3\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{1} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{2} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}\right)-\boldsymbol{S}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{2}\right], \\
& \text { where we assumed } \boldsymbol{B}=(0,0, B), \\
& \text { and use cylindrical coordinate }(\rho, Z, \boldsymbol{\phi})
\end{aligned}
$$

But we can't start calculation!!
$\frac{1}{r^{3}}$ and $\delta(r)$ terms overcome $\nabla^{2} \square$ "collapse" solutions appear We have to smear potentials

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(r) & \rightarrow\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{3} e^{-\Lambda^{2} r^{2}} \\
\frac{1}{r^{3}} & \rightarrow A \frac{1-e^{-\Lambda^{2} r^{2}}}{r^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hamiltonian 3/3

In summary, the relative Hamiltonian we solve in this study is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\mathrm{rel}} & =H_{\mathrm{diag}}+H_{\mathrm{m} . \ldots}+V_{\mathrm{LS}}+V_{\mathrm{T}}+2 m_{c} \\
H_{\mathrm{diag}} & =\left[-\frac{1}{2 \mu} \nabla^{2}+\frac{q^{2} B^{2}}{8 \mu} \rho^{2}\right)+\sigma r-\frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{r}+\frac{32 \pi \alpha_{s}}{9 m_{c}^{2}}\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{3}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{2}\right) e^{-\Lambda^{2} r^{2}}, \\
H_{\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{m} .} & =-\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}\right) \\
V_{\mathrm{LS}} & =\frac{1}{m_{c}^{2}}\left(2 \alpha_{s} A_{\mathrm{LS}} \frac{1-e^{-\Lambda_{\mathrm{LS}}^{2} r^{2}}}{r^{3}}-\frac{\sigma}{2 r}\right) \boldsymbol{L} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}, \\
V_{\mathrm{T}} & =\frac{1}{m_{c}^{2}} 4 \alpha_{s} A_{\mathrm{T}} \frac{1-e^{-\Lambda_{\mathrm{T}}^{2} r^{2}}}{3 r^{3}}\left[3\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{1} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{2} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}\right)-\boldsymbol{S}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mu=m_{c} / 2$ :reduced mass, $\boldsymbol{S}=\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{1}}+\boldsymbol{S}_{2}$
$\left(\sigma, \alpha_{s}, \Lambda, m_{c}\right)=\left(0.1425 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, 0.5461,1.0946 \mathrm{GeV}, 1.4794 \mathrm{GeV}\right)$ $\left(\Lambda_{L S}, A_{L S}, \Lambda_{T}, A_{T}\right)=(0.2 \mathrm{GeV}, 7.3,1.2 \mathrm{GeV}, 1.2)$
$q$ : electrical charge of charm quarks Phys. Rev. D 72, 054026(2005) Barnes, Godfrey, Swanson

## Calculation method :

## Cylindrical Gaussian Expansion Method (CGEM)

Conventional GEM uses spherical Gaussian basis:

$$
\Psi_{\text {spherical }}(r)=N e^{-\alpha r^{2}}
$$

cf.) E. Hiyama, Y.Kino and M. Kamimura, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 51223 (2003).
Therefore ... But now spherical symmetry violates by MF
Previous studies uses cylindrically symmetric one for S-wave:

$$
\Psi_{\text {cylindrical }}^{\mathrm{S}}(\rho, z, \phi)=N e^{-\beta \rho^{2}-\gamma z^{2}}
$$

K. Suzuki and T.Yoshida, Phys.Rev.D93, 051502 (2016). $※ \beta, \gamma$ : range parameters, spin functions omitted,
Furthermore ...
$N$ : normalization factor,
This study: cylindrically symmetric one for P -wave:

$$
\Psi_{\mathrm{cyl}}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\rho, z, \phi ; L_{z}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
N z e^{-\beta \rho^{2}-\gamma z^{2}} \quad \text { for } L_{z}=0 \\
N(\mp \rho) e^{ \pm i \phi} e^{-\beta \rho^{2}-\gamma z^{2}} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}= \pm 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Solving the Hamiltonian with CGEM, we see ...

## Results - mass for $J_{z}= \pm 1$




$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Particle: |J; LS }\rangle \\
\chi_{c 2}:|2 ; 11\rangle \\
h_{c}:|1 ; 10\rangle \\
\chi_{c 1}:|1 ; 11\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

State: $\left|\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}} ; \mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{z}} \mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{z}}\right\rangle$
"3rd": $| \pm 1 ; \downarrow \uparrow\rangle$
"2nd": |0; $\uparrow \uparrow$ or $\downarrow \downarrow\rangle$
" 1 st ": $| \pm 1 ; \uparrow \downarrow\rangle$

## Results - mass for $J_{z}=0$



## So what?:-

$\uparrow\rangle$
$\uparrow\rangle$

## Comparing with another research

T. Yoshida and K. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. D94, 074043 (2016)


## Comparing with another research ...




LHC

## Results ( $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{z}}= \pm 1$, PB effect)



P-wave $\bar{c} \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{z}}= \pm 1$ (2nd), eB=$=0.00 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$


- WF's start to deform from $e B \sim 0.01 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
- Max strength of MF in LHC: $|e B| \sim 1.0 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$

RHIC: $|e B| \sim 0.1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
SPS: $|e B| \sim 0.01 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
Deformations of wave functions can be detectable

## anisotropic decay - to observe PB effect -

Photon radiation
E1 decay operator : $r \cdot \epsilon^{ \pm}$
$\epsilon^{ \pm}= \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, \pm i, 0)$ : polarization vector with $z$-axis along photon momentum
(Take $z$-axis parallel to the magnetic field)

For $L_{z}=0$ state, we have


$$
\langle S| r \cdot \epsilon^{ \pm}\left|P ; L_{z}=0\right\rangle \propto \sin \alpha
$$

For $L_{z}= \pm 1$ state, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle S| r \cdot \epsilon^{ \pm}\left|P ; L_{z}=+1\right\rangle \propto \cos \alpha \pm 1 \\
& \langle S| r \cdot \epsilon^{ \pm}\left|P ; L_{z}=-1\right\rangle \propto \cos \alpha \mp 1
\end{aligned}
$$

As $|e B|$ gets large, states are purified into $\left|\mathrm{L}_{z} ; \mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{z}} \mathrm{S}_{2 z}\right\rangle$ ones
There's possibility to see such anisotropic decay from each state

## Summary

- Motivation: to measure MF in HIC
- Calculation: P-wave charmonia in strong MF

$$
\hat{H}_{\mathrm{rel}}=\hat{H}_{\mathrm{diag}}+\hat{H}_{\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{m} .}+\hat{V}_{\mathrm{LS}}+\hat{V}_{\mathrm{T}}
$$

- We prepared basis for P-wave:

$$
\Psi_{c y 1}^{P}(\rho, z, \phi)=N r Y_{L_{z}}^{L=1}(\theta, \phi) e^{-\beta \rho^{2}-\gamma z^{2}}
$$

- We confirmed PBE occurs also in hadronic system
- HPBE leads to anisotropic decay


## Prospect

- Go toward the realistic calculation
- To consider time-dependence, electrical field, thermal effects, ...
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## Calculation method $4 / 5$

## Generalized eigenvalue problem

Usual eigenvalue problem : $H \boldsymbol{c}=E \boldsymbol{c}$
When we use orthogonal basis functions $\Psi_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left\langle\Psi_{1}\right| \mathcal{H}\left|\Psi_{1}\right\rangle & \cdots & \left\langle\Psi_{1}\right| \mathcal{H}\left|\Psi_{n}\right\rangle \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \mathcal{H}\left|\Psi_{1}\right\rangle & \cdots & \left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \mathcal{H}\left|\Psi_{n}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right)=E\left(\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

But now we use non-orthogonal basis: $\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \Psi_{\mathrm{j}}\right\rangle \neq \delta_{i j}$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left\langle\Psi_{1}\right| \mathcal{H}\left|\Psi_{1}\right\rangle & \cdots & \left\langle\Psi_{1}\right| \mathcal{H}\left|\Psi_{n}\right\rangle \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \mathcal{H}\left|\Psi_{1}\right\rangle & \cdots & \left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \mathcal{H}\left|\Psi_{n}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right)=E\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left\langle\Psi_{1} \mid \Psi_{1}\right\rangle & \cdots & \left\langle\Psi_{1} \mid \Psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{n}} \mid \Psi_{1}\right\rangle & \cdots & \left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{n}} \mid \Psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The form of $H \boldsymbol{c}=E N c$ : generalized eigenvalue problem
We use Cholesky decomposition

Calculation method 5/5
Cholesky decomposition

- If we have $N^{-1} H|\Psi\rangle=E|\Psi\rangle$,
then $N^{-1} H$ is not symmetric matrix technically difficult to diagonalize

Norm matrix $N$ :real, symmetric, and positive so that we can decompose $N$ as

$$
N=U^{T} U
$$

U:upper triangular matrix only w/ positive diagonal components - Here,

- Eigenvalues by $H^{\prime}:=\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1} H U^{-1}$ is same as those by $H|\Psi\rangle=E N|\Psi\rangle$
- $H$ : symmetric
$H^{\prime}$ : symmetric
We can separate the calculation


## Slide in case : PB effect on $J_{z}= \pm 1,3^{\text {rd }}$

P-wave $\bar{c} c, J_{z}= \pm 1(3 \mathrm{rd}), e B=0.00 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$


P波チャーモニウムの観測
$\chi_{c 1, c 2} \rightarrow J / \Psi \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$の崩壊ははつきり観測できる


## LHC，RHIC，SPS での磁場の詳細




く磁場の時間発展 （衝突パラメー夕固定）
Int．J．Mod．Phys．
A24（2009）5925－5932

衝突時の磁場の衝突パラメータ依存性 $\rightarrow$ Phys．Rev．C 85， 044907 （2012）



个RHIC の磁場

## 予備スライド ：$J_{Z}= \pm 1,3$ rdでの パッシエンバック効果

P－wave $\bar{c} c, J_{z}= \pm 1(3 \mathrm{rd}), e B=0.00 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$


## Slide in case : results on $J_{z}= \pm 1$ <br> $0.0,0.1, \ldots, 1.0 \mathrm{GeV}$ のGIF( $\left.1^{\text {st }} 3^{\text {rd }}\right)$

$P$-wave $\bar{c} c, J_{z}= \pm 1$ (2nd), eB=0.0GeV ${ }^{2}$


## Slide in case : results on $J_{z}= \pm 1$ GIF of $0.0,0.1, \ldots, 1.0 \mathrm{GeV}\left(4^{\text {th }}\right)$

P-wave $\bar{c} c, J_{z}= \pm 1(4 \mathrm{th}), \mathrm{eB}=0.0 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$


